

## Portland Press Herald: Letter to the Editor

### **MAINE VOICES Free our fish to move freely up and down rivers**

A bill to require dam owners to provide easy passage both ways is coming up soon.

[E-mail this page](#) [Reader Comments \(below\)](#)

Kerry Hardy May 16, 2007



1998 Press Herald File

Maine's dams won't continue to be obstacles to the free passage of diadromous fish if a proposed bill passes.

#### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Kerry Hardy (e-mail: [2wheels@gwi.net](mailto:2wheels@gwi.net)) of Rockland is the former executive director of the Merryspring Nature Center in Camden.

- On Monday, 27 lawmakers in Augusta will wrestle with what could be Maine's most significant environmental legislation in decades -- and chances are you've never heard of it. Why? Because it has powerful opponents who want it to disappear quietly.

The bill is called LD 1528, and it's dead simple: It requires dam owners to provide safe and effective passage for all 12 species of diadromous fish -- those having life phases in both fresh and salt water, including imperiled species like Atlantic salmon, shortnose sturgeon and American eel -- and it gives any citizen the right to sue if they don't.

This bill would reconnect the fish with their historic spawning grounds, which in turn could have enormous implications for our rivers, our lakes and the Gulf of Maine.

In bygone days, untold millions of spawning fish brought our fishermen a double bonanza each year. In the spring, lobstermen trapped spawning adults by the barrelful and made their own bait. Later, as the young of the year filled our estuaries and

harbors, everything from codfish to tuna grew fat on them, and our fishermen shared in this bounty.

Ordinary citizens recognized this pattern immediately, and fish-passage laws were passed in 1735, 1741, 1786, and 1789 by the Massachusetts Legislature. By various means, each was quickly disabled, repealed, or circumvented.

Those days, and those spawning runs, are gone. Now lobstermen are hostages to a few giant wholesalers who treble the value of raw herring just by renaming it "bait." Groundfishermen aren't even that lucky -- their cod died away long ago, waiting for food fish that never came downriver.

And if the past is sad, the future is downright scary: as herring costs skyrocket, lobstermen may be forced to buy soybean-based bait. Soybeans? As in genetically modified soybeans, which currently account for 86 percent of the American crop? Yes, those soybeans.

It looks like the the Gulf of Maine could get its own science project.

I said LD 1528 was simple. Look beneath the surface, however, and you'll see how Augusta really works. Dam owners argue that "safe and effective passage" for fish would spell doom for their paper mills and hydroelectric plants.

These folks, and their friends in Maine's executive branch, are unwilling to see the situation in reverse -- that centuries of dam ownership have been an ongoing subsidy to their businesses, taken at the expense of the other creatures, including fishermen, who also draw life from these rivers.

By statute, the commissioners of our various state conservation agencies all serve "at the pleasure of the governor". Many honest and hard-working conservationists serve under them, but their work is ultimately "top-driven," as we politely say. If you find doubt this, you haven't been watching the Androscoggin River over the past few years.

Even some professional conservationists privately wish that this bill, so lacking in the cost bailouts that would make it politically palatable, would just go away.

Oddly enough, it may not. Twenty-one of the 27 legislators contemplating its fate are either from coastal towns or river towns, with fishing industries to think of when they vote.

Moreover, in the process of working on this bill, I've been struck by the general courtesy, common sense and just plain down-to-earthiness that characterizes most of our legislators -- they're nowhere near as slick as the real Augusta insiders.

For that matter, neither are the Friends of Merrymeeting Bay, the tiny grassroots conservation group behind this bill. They just happen to care about fish and rivers. If people want to discuss this with their legislators, and are having trouble finding the right words, here are some pretty good ones from 60 years ago:

"Quit thinking about decent land-use as solely an economic problem....

A thing is right when it tends to preserve the integrity, stability and beauty of the biotic community. It is wrong when it tends otherwise."

Thus wrote Aldo Leopold in his work, "The Land Ethic."

Our rivers and fish have endured three centuries of wrong -- it's time we did something right.

— Special to the Press Herald

## **Reader comments**

**CommonCents** of Brunswick, ME

May 16, 2007 12:10 PM

So far, Maine Taxpayers have spent millions to restore 'native?' salmon runs for the benefit of wealthy 'sports'.

Meanwhile the rest of us pay the highest electric rates in the U.S. and can't buy 'green' hydro power because some influential rich fisherman from out of state MUST be able to catch salmon or brook trout!!

HYDRO power must be harnessed now through diversion weirs and other means; dams must be restored; and in stream turbines installed that co-exist with migrating species.

It's time we balance the need of average Mainers for inexpensive 'green' power with those of rich out-of-staters!